	Case 5:01-cr-20005-JF Document	100 F	Filed 07/18/06	Page 1 of 3
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	NOT FOR CITATION			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
11				
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	C	Case No. CR 01-2	0005 JF
13	Plaintiff,		ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR COA WITH RESPECT TO ORDER DENYING RELIEF UNDER FRCP 60(b)	
14	v.	D		
15	ROBERT RUVALCABA,		00(0)	
16	Defendant.			
17				
18				
19				
20	Defendant Robert Ruvalcaba ("Ruvalcaba") pled guilty to one charge of conspiracy to			
21	distribute five hundred grams or more of methamphetamine and was sentenced to a term of			
22	imprisonment of 168 months. The Court of Appeals dismissed Ruvalcaba's appeal after			
23	concluding that his plea was knowing and voluntary and that the plea colloquy was not			
24	ambiguous or defective. Ruvalcaba subsequently filed a motion for relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C.			
25	§ 2255, which motion was denied by this Court. This Court also denied Ruvalcaba's motions for			
26	reconsideration and for certificate of appealability. The Court of Appeals denied Ruvalcaba's			
27	subsequent appeal and ordered that no other motions or other submissions would be considered			
28	in Ruvalcaba's case. Ruvalcaba subsequently filed a motion for relief from this Court's			
	Case No. CR 01-20005 JF ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR COA WITH RESPECT TO DENIAL OF RULE 60(b) MOTION (JFLC2)			

Case 5:01-cr-20005-JF Document 100 Filed 07/18/06 Page 2 of 3

judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b), which was denied by order dated May 23, 2006.

On June 28, 2006, Ruvalcaba submitted a motion for certificate of appealability ("COA") with respect to this Court's denial of his Rule 60(b) motion. The Clerk's Office docketed the motion as "received" in light of the Ninth Circuit's bar against further filings in this case. It is unclear whether the Ninth Circuit's bar extends to filing in this Court as well as the Court of Appeal. Even assuming that Ruvalcaba's motion properly is before this Court, however, the motion is without merit, because Ruvalcaba's Rule 60(b) motion was so clearly without merit.

Accordingly, Ruvalcaba's motion for COA is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 7/18/06

JEREMY FOGE United States District Judge

Case 5:01-cr-20005-JF Document 100 Filed 07/18/06 Page 3 of 3 Copies of Order served on: Robert Ruvalcaba No. 99468-111 Federal Prison Camp 3705 West Farm Road Lompoc, CA 93436 John N. Glang Assistant United States Attorney Office of the United States Attorney 150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, CA 95113 Case No. CR 01-20005 JF